











HEALTHY AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING Fact Sheet

Legal Representation in Eviction Proceedings

The Problem

More than two million eviction cases are filed against tenants each year in the United States.¹ That's roughly one eviction filing every four minutes. Eviction can impact the physical, psychological, and economic health of individuals, families, and communities for years,² and yet the vast majority of tenants navigate these weighty eviction proceedings without the help of legal counsel.

The Sixth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution guarantees defendants the right to the assistance of counsel in all criminal cases.³ Defendants in civil matters, however, do not enjoy the same broad guarantee, despite the potentially devastating consequences of many civil cases. "As a result, many low-income Americans 'go it alone' without legal representation in disputes where they risk losing their job, their livelihood, their home, or their children, or seek a restraining order against an abuser."⁴ Organizers, non-profit organizations, bar associations, and others have long sought to provide those who are unable to afford lawyers in matters concerning basic human needs with access to a lawyer at no charge.⁵ In recent years, that effort has shifted to include demands not just for the increased funding needed to expand free legal services, but also for recognition of a legal right to counsel in various civil matters. As a result, a patchwork of state and local laws today establishes this legal right in particular types of civil cases—such as involuntary mental health commitment, child custody, domestic violence, guardianship, and eviction proceedings.⁶

Civil legal aid organizations and pro bono attorneys around the country provide legal assistance in these and other civil matters whenever possible, but they are often constrained by lack of funding and other resources. In a 2017 report, for example, the Legal Services Corporation (LSC)—the largest federal source of funding for free legal representation in civil cases—found that due to a lack of resources, LSC-funded programs were unable to provide adequate legal help to more than half of the low-income Americans who asked, and that 86% of the civil legal problems reported by low-income Americans received inadequate or no legal help.⁷

This access to justice gap is particularly notable in eviction proceedings, where 81% of landlords are represented by an experienced agent or attorney and only 3% of tenants are.⁸ In some jurisdictions, like Baltimore City, as few as 1% of tenants are typically represented and as many as 96% of landlords are.⁹ This means that tenants, already facing the double stigma of poverty and nonpayment, ¹⁰ enter the courtroom at a distinct disadvantage. The unsurprising result is

that outcomes disproportionately favor landlords.¹¹

The Potential Policy Solution

Research shows that legal representation can radically change the outcome of eviction cases, ¹² by decreasing rates of default judgments, preventing displacement through eviction, and limiting the collateral damage caused by eviction. ¹³ In cases brought for failure to pay rent, many tenants have legal defenses to eviction, and a lawyer is able to help tenants exercise these defenses to prevent displacement. Even when eviction is warranted and tenants are not able to stay in their homes, lawyers can help to mitigate the worst impacts of eviction, by negotiating to have the tenant's move-out date adjusted, have back rent reduced or waived, retain the tenant's housing subsidy, have the case sealed from public view, or have the tenant's credit otherwise protected. Legal representation can also benefit tenants as a whole by reducing the overall number of eviction filings and reducing the burden on the court system, as landlords who know that tenants will be represented may be less inclined to file.

In New York City, the first city to enact a right to counsel in eviction proceedings, 78% of represented tenants have remained in their homes since the right was enacted, and the eviction rate decreased by 23% from 2016 (the year before adoption) to 2019.^{14,15} Similarly, in San Francisco, eviction filings have declined by 10%, and 67% of represented tenants have been able to stay in their homes since the right was enacted.¹⁶ In a pilot project in Los Angeles, 89% of tenants represented by lawyers achieved a favorable outcome: 71% had their move-out dates adjusted; 79% had their rental debt reduced or waived; 86% had their unlawful detainer cases shielded from public view; and 54% had their credit protected.¹⁷ In the first year of Kansas City's program, 1,196 individuals accessed representation, with more than 80% achieving favorable outcomes.¹⁸ These results show that when tenants have access to an attorney in eviction proceedings, they are able to assert successful defenses and avoid the long-term negative consequences of eviction.

Local-Level Initiatives

To date, 17 major cities and two counties have enacted a right to counsel in eviction proceedings. ¹⁹ New York City led the way by establishing the right in July of 2017. Under New York City's law²⁰, all tenants are eligible for brief legal advice, and income-eligible tenants—defined as individuals who are 60 years of age or older and whose household income is at or below 200% of the federal poverty guideline—receive access to full legal representation in eviction proceedings. Notably, the right attaches early in the eviction process: no later than a tenant's first scheduled appearance in housing court; and the right extends to administrative proceedings of the city housing authority for termination of tenancy or housing subsidies.

In the years since, two counties and 16 cities across the country, including San Francisco, ²¹ Cleveland, ²² Philadelphia, ²³ Baltimore, ²⁴ Boulder, ²⁵ Seattle, ²⁶ and Detroit ²⁷ have followed New York City's lead. Many of these local laws are modeled, at least in part, after New York City's law, but there are some notable differences in how the laws were enacted and to whom the right applies. For example, most of these cities enacted the right through local legislation, but San Francisco and Boulder did so through ballot measures. In New York City and Philadelphia, families whose household income is at or below 200% of the federal poverty guideline—\$26,500 for a family of four—qualify for full legal representation; but in Cleveland, the income threshold is lower, so that only families whose household income is at or below 100% of the federal poverty guideline qualify; and in Baltimore and Boulder, there is no stated income limit. In Baltimore, the administrating agency is directed to prioritize families with the lowest incomes, while the Boulder initiative specifies that the right attaches to *all* tenants, regardless of income.

State-Level Initiatives

On April 22, 2021, Washington became the first state to enact legislation providing for statewide access to legal representation in eviction proceedings. ²⁸ Maryland ²⁹, Connecticut ³⁰, Delaware ³¹, and Minnesota ³² have also enacted such

legislation. As on the local level, there is some variation from state to state regarding which tenants qualify for free legal representation and in how the right is accessed and implemented. In Minnesota, for example, the right only applies to tenants in public housing, while in Maryland, households with incomes no more than 50% of the state median income are granted access to counsel, and in Delaware, households with incomes at or below 200% of the federal poverty guidelines have the right to counsel. Maryland implements the right much like New York has: by connecting tenants with existing providers of free legal services, while Connecticut requires the court to appoint an attorney if a tenant is found unable to afford one of their own. There is also variation in the degree to which legal representation is guaranteed. In Maryland, for example, what was initially proposed as a "right to counsel" was amended through the legislative process to instead provide "access to counsel," subject to available funding and stopping short of establishing a legal right. In Washington, the measure is framed as a "a right," but appointment of counsel is also subject to available funding, and language conveyed to tenants is that "[t]he court may be able to appoint a lawyer," suggesting representation may not be guaranteed. Minnesota has similar language. By contrast, the Connecticut law contains definitive language establishing a "right to counsel" in covered proceedings without any qualifications regarding funding. Delaware's law frames access as a "right," and covers proceedings beyond eviction, including retaliatory actions, habitability claims, etc.

Minnesota and Maryland both considered expanding their right to counsel programs in their most recent legislative sessions (ending mid-2024), but the bills did not pass. Senators in Minnesota introduced a bill that would have expanded the right to counsel currently only enjoyed by public housing residents to all tenants financially unable to obtain counsel, but the bill was unsuccessful.³³ Maryland's bill would have expanded its access to counsel law to homeowners facing eviction.³⁴

At least nine more states have considered enacting legislation providing right to counsel for tenants since 2021, including Illinois, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nebraska, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, and South Carolina.³⁵

National-Level Initiatives

Several bills have also been proposed at the national level to increase legal representation in eviction proceedings. The Place to Prosper Act, introduced in November of 2019 by Representative Alexandria Ocasio Cortez, specifically called for the creation of a right to counsel for tenants in eviction proceedings. Several others—the Eviction Prevention Act of 2019, Introduced by Representative Rosa DeLauro; the Legal Assistance to Prevent Evictions Act of 2020, Introduced by Representative James Clyburn and Senator Michael Bennett; and the Affordable Housing Opportunities More Equitable (HOME) Act, Introduced by Senator Jeff Merkley—propose dedicating additional federal funds to support state and local governments' and non-profit organizations' efforts to provide low-income tenants with legal representation. While these latter efforts stopped short of establishing a national right to counsel, they aimed to incentivize governments and non-profit organizations to do so with federal funding.

Unfortunately, none of these federal efforts made much progress before their respective legislative sessions ended. Similar bills – including the Eviction Prevention Act of 2023,⁴⁰ introduced by Senator Robert Casey Jr. and Representative Rosa DeLauro; the HELP Act of 2023,⁴¹ introduced by Representative Ayanna Pressley; the Housing for All Act of 2023,⁴² introduced by Representative Ted Lieu; and the Eviction Protection Act of 2023,⁴³ introduced by Representative Adam Schiff – have been introduced in the current Congress, but like their predecessors, have not made significant progress. It is doubtful that Congress will pass right to counsel reform soon.

The Greatest Potential Barrier: Funding

Much of the opposition to the civil right to counsel movement, even from landlords, focuses not on the principle of providing representation, but on the cost of implementation. In cities that have studied the potential cost of providing counsel at eviction proceedings, estimates range from \$3.5 million in Philadelphia to \$200 million in New York City,

depending on the number of tenants the city expects to serve and what eviction defense services are already in place. 44 Opponents argue that money would be better spent elsewhere, but "cost is not a reason to deny litigants due process. Equality before the law is basic to the very idea of democracy and we must find a way to fund it." 45 While several local jurisdictions have relied on general appropriations to fund the right, several have attempted to create new revenue streams to provide funding. In Boulder, for example, the right to counsel is funded through the adoption of a new rental licensing excise tax of \$75 per year per licensed unit. In Maryland, legislators attempted to pair right to counsel legislation with an increase to the state's eviction filling fees, providing that the increased revenue from these court fees would fund legal representation in eviction cases. 46 Unfortunately, while the "access to counsel" bill passed the General Assembly, the fee bill did not, leaving legal representation subject to the availability of general fund appropriations. 47 But in 2023, Maryland appropriated \$3.5 million in general funds to provide services. 48 In Connecticut, the Governor proposed allocating \$40 million of federal funds received under the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 to support legal representation in housing court, 49 and legislators introduced three bills during the 2023 session that would have increased overall funding for the program, and expanded it to distressed municipalities. 50

Concerns about the cost of implementation may also be misplaced, as right to counsel is projected to save governments money in the long run by reducing the costs of disruptive displacement like emergency shelter, temporary housing, physical and mental health care, school transportation, and foster care. According to one study, an investment of \$5.7 million in right to counsel in Baltimore City is expected to result in approximately \$17.5 million in cost savings to the City and an additional \$18.1 million in cost savings to the State, for a total return on investment of more than six to one.⁵¹ In Philadelphia, it's estimated that for each \$1 the City spends on representation, it will receive a benefit of more than \$12.⁵² A study in Massachusetts estimated a return of \$2.40 for every \$1 spent on right to counsel, totaling \$36.73 million in savings annually, and an analysis of Cleveland's right to counsel estimated a net savings of nearly \$2 million.⁵³ As these studies note, these cost-savings are likely significantly understated because they are limited to benefits that are easily quantifiable.⁵¹

Conclusion

Legal representation can play an important role in leveling the playing field in eviction cases. As recent experience has shown, tenants represented by a lawyer have a much better chance of achieving a positive outcome than those who are not—whether that means staying in their homes or being able to mitigate some of the worst impacts of eviction. By implementing a right to counsel in eviction cases, state and local governments not only help to prevent harm to individuals threatened with eviction, but they also help to reduce eviction filing rates overall, stabilize communities, and ultimately save far more than they spend.

This document was developed by Mollie Soloway, J.D. '21, as a student attorney in the Public Health Law Clinic at the University of Maryland Carey School of Law, and was reviewed by Kathleen Hoke, J.D., Director, Network for Public Health Law – Eastern Region and Professor at the University of Maryland Carey School of Law. The Network promotes public health and health equity through non-partisan educational resources and technical assistance. These materials provided are provided solely for educational purposes and do not constitute legal advice. The Network's provision of these materials does not create an attorney-client relationship with you or any other person and is subject to the Network's Disclaimer.

SUPPORTERS

Support for the Network provided by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. The views expressed in this document do not necessarily reflect the views of the Foundation.



- ¹ EVICTION LAB, National Estimates: Eviction in America (May 11, 2018), https://evictionlab.org/national-estimates/
- ² Mathew Desmond & Rachel Tolbert Kimbro, Eviction's Fallout: Housing, Hardship, and Health, 94 SOCIAL FORCES 295 (Feb. 24, 2015), https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/mdesmond/files/desmondkimbro.evictions.fallout.sf2015_2.pdf
- ³ U.S. CONST. amend. VI (as interpreted in Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 US 335 (1963)).
- ⁴ LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION, THE JUSTICE GAP: MEASURING THE UNMET CIVIL LEGAL NEEDS OF LOW-INCOME AMERICANS 9 (2017), https://www.lsc.gov/justicegap2017
- ⁵ See e.g. Ericka Petersen, Building a House for Gideon: The Right to Counsel in Evictions, 16 STAN. J. C.R. & C.L. 63, 84–88 (2020) (describing the history of the civil right to counsel movement); THE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, Civil Right to Counsel, https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_aid_indigent_defense/civil_right_to_counsel1/.
- ⁶ NATIONAL COALITION FOR A CIVIL RIGHT TO COUNSEL, Status Map, http://civilrighttocounsel.org/map (providing a 50-state view of civil right to counsel legislation).
- ⁷ LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION, supra note 4 at 6, 14 (note that these numbers only account for the legal needs of families with incomes at or below 125% of the Federal Poverty Level; they do not account for the many families whose income is higher but may still be unable to afford a lawyer).
- ⁸ Liel Sterling & Maria Roumiantseva, New Report Illustrates How Right to Counsel Prevents Evictions and their Discriminatory Impacts on Communities, ACLU (May 11, 2022), https://www.aclu.org/news/womens-rights/new-report-illustrates-how-right-to-counsel-prevents-evictions-and-their-discriminatory-impacts-on-communities.
- 9 Stout Risius Ross, LLC, The Economic Impact of an Eviction Right to Counsel in Baltimore City 23 (May 8, 2020), https://abell.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Baltimore20RTC20Report_FINAL_5_8_2020.pdf. Notably, however, Baltimore City has recently enacted a right to counsel in eviction proceedings.
- ¹⁰ Rebecca L. Sandefur, Elements of Professional Expertise: Understanding Relational and Substantive Expertise through Lawyers' Impact, 80,5 AM. SOCIO. REV. 909, 924 (Oct. 2015).
- ¹¹ See e.g. Stout Risius Ross, LLC, *supra* note 9, at 25 (finding that more than two-thirds of eviction cases in Baltimore City were resolved in favor of landlords); *Id.*, at 51 (finding that percent of unrepresented tenants in eviction cases in Philadelphia experience case outcomes that have a high likelihood of disruptive displacement).
- ¹² Sandefur, supra note 10.
- ¹³ Stout Risius Ross, LLC, supra note 9, at 50–58 (explaining benefits of legal representation).
- 14 Office of Civil Justice, Universal Access to Legal Services: A Report on Year Five of Implementation in New York City, New York City Department of Social Services (2022), https://www.nyc.gov/assets/hra/downloads/pdf/services/civiljustice/OCJ_UA_Annual_Report_2022.pdf.
- Mayor's Office of Housing & Community Development, 2019-2020 Annual Progress Report, City & County of San Francisco, https://sfstandard.com/2024/01/09/california-evictions-tenants-right-to-counsel-san-francisco/; CalMatters, San Francisco gives tenants access to lawyers in evictions. Should other cities do the same?, The San Francisco Standard (Jan. 9, 2024), https://sfstandard.com/2024/01/09/california-evictions-tenants-right-to-counsel-san-francisco/; Sandra Park & John Pollock, Tenants' Right to Counsel is Critical to Fight Mass Evictions and Advance Race Equity During the Pandemic and Beyond, ACLU (Jan. 12, 2021), https://www.aclu.org/news/racial-justice/tenants-right-to-counsel-is-critical-to-fight-mass-evictions-and-advance-race-equity-during-the-pandemic-and-beyond.

```
<sup>16</sup> Id.. at 30–32.
```

- ²¹ No Eviction Without Representation Act of 2018 (Proposition F) (codified at S.F., Cal. Admin. Code § 58.4).
- ²² Cleveland, Ohio Ord. No. 1001-2019 (Sept. 30, 2019) (codified at Cleveland, Ohio Code § 375.12).
- ²³ Bill No. 190386, 2019 Phila. City Council (Dec. 4, 2019) (codified at Phila., PA. Code. § 9-808).
- ²⁴ Bill No. 20-0625, 2020 Balt. City Council (Dec. 2, 2020) (codified at Balt., MD. Code §§13-6A-1-6, 13-7-3).
- ²⁵ Boulder, Colo. Ord. No. 8412 (Sept. 1, 2020) (codified at Boulder, Colo. Mun. Code §§12-2, 3-20).
- ²⁶ C.B. 120007, 2021 Seattle City Council (Apr. 2, 2021) (codified at Seattle, Wash. Mun. Code § 22.206.195).
- ²⁷ Ord. No. 01-19, Detroit City Council (Sept. 1, 2019) (codified at Detroit, MI. Code § 22-8-1, et seq.).
- ²⁸ S.B. 5160, 67th Leg., 2021 Reg. Sess. (Wash. 2021).
- ²⁹ H.B. 18, 2021 Reg. Sess. (Maryland 2021).
- ³⁰ H.B. 6531, 2021 Reg. Sess. (Conn. 2021).
- 31 25 Del. C. Ch. 56
- 32 Minn. Stat. § 504B.268.
- 33 S.B. 1629, 93rd Leg., 2023-2024 Reg. Sess. (Minn. 2023).
- ³⁴ S.B. 671, 2024 Reg. Sess. (Maryland 2024).
- ³⁵ More States and Localities Pass Right-to-Counsel Legislation, Nat'l. Low Income Housing Coal. (May 8, 2023), https://nlihc.org/resource/more-states-and-localities-pass-right-counsel-legislation.
- ³⁶ H.R.5072, 116th Cong. (2019).
- ³⁷ H.R. 2598, 116th Cong. (2019).
- ³⁸ S. 3305/H.R. 5884, 116th Cong. (2020).
- ³⁹ S. 2452, 116th Cong. (2020).
- ⁴⁰ S. 3463, 118th Cong. (2023); H. 6696, 118th Cong. (2023).
- ⁴¹ H. 5038, 118th Cong. (2023).
- ⁴² H. 5254, 118th Cong. (2023).
- ⁴³ H. 5816, 118th Cong. (2023).

¹⁷ Id.

¹⁸ News Release: Right to Counsel Program is a Success, Kansas City, MO (Sept. 11, 2023), https://www.kcmo.gov/Home/Components/News/News/2074/1746.

¹⁹ Thomas Stanley-Becker, *Right to Counsel in Eviction Proceedings is Vital Amidst Post-COVID Eviction Surge*, Georgetown J. on Poverty Law & Policy (Nov. 21, 2023), https://www.law.georgetown.edu/poverty-journal/blog/right-to-counsel-in-eviction-proceedings-is-vital-amidst-post-covid-eviction-surge/# ftnref11.

²⁰ N.Y.C., N.Y. Ord. No. 2017/136 (Aug. 11, 2017) (codified at N.Y.C., N.Y. Admin. Code §§ 26-1301–05).

⁴⁴ Stout Risius Ross, LLC, *supra* note 9, at 63 (estimating that representation would cost the City \$5.7 million annually); Stout Risius Ross, LLC, *Economic Return on Investment of Providing Counsel in Philadelphia Eviction Cases for Low-Income Tenants* 44 (Nov. 13, 2018), https://cdn2.hubspot.net/hubfs/4408380/PDF/Cost-Benefit-Impact-Studies/Philadelphia%20Evictions%20Report_11-13-18.pdfs (estimating that it would cost Philadelphia \$3.5 million annually to implement a right to counsel); Stout Risius Ross, LLC, *The Financial Cost and Benefits of Establishing a Right to Counsel in Eviction Proceedings Under Intro 214-A* 16 (March 16, 2016), https://www2.nycbar.org/pdf/report/uploads/SRR Report Financial Cost and Benefits of Establishing a Right to Counsel in Eviction Proceedings.pdf (estimating that the cost of implementing New York's proposed legislation would be \$199 million).

⁴⁵ Peterson, *supra* note 5, at 88.

- ⁴⁸ H.B. 200, 2023 Leg., 444d Sess. (Md. 2023); More States and Localities Pass Right-to-Counsel Legislation, supra note 35.
- ⁴⁹ Governor Ned Lamont, *Connecticut's Plan for the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021: A Roadmap for a Transformative, Equitable and Healthy Recovery for our State* 18 (Apr. 26, 2021), https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/office-of-the-governor/news/2021/20210426-governor-lamont-arpa-allocation-plan.pdf.
- ⁵⁰ More States and Localities Pass Right-to-Counsel Legislation, supra note 35.
- ⁵¹ Stout Risius Ross, LLC, *supra* note 9, at 80-81 (calculating cost savings to the City and State).
- ⁵² Stout Risius Ross, LLC, *Cost-Benefit Analysis for Philadelphia Right to Counsel for Low Income Tenants Facing Eviction*, https://www.stout.com/en/experience/cost-benefit-analysis-for-philadelphia-right-to-counsel.
- ⁵³ Sterling & Roumiantseva, *supra* note 8.
- ⁵⁴ Stout Risius Ross, LLC, *supra* note 9, at 82.

⁴⁶ H.B. 31, 2021 Leg., 442d Sess. (Md. 2021).

⁴⁷ Bennett Leckrone, *In Wake Of Failed Bills, Housing Advocates Say Relief Funding Alone Won't Stop Evictions*, Maryland Matters (Apr. 14, 2021), https://www.marylandmatters.org/2021/04/14/in-wake-of-failed-bills-housing-advocates-say-relief-funding-alone-wont-stop-evictions/.